

United States Patent and Trademark Office



X

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/505,501	02/17/2000	William L. O'Meara	102001-200	7115
27267	7590 11/14/2003		EXAMINER	
WIGGIN & DANA LLP			FELTON, AILEEN BAKER	
ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	EN, CT 06508-1832		3641	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ `	
		Applicati n N .	pplican	t(s)
		09/505,501	O'MEARA	A ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Aileen B Felton	3641	
Period f	The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication a or Reply	ppears on the cover s	heet with the correspond	lenc address
THE - Extrafte - If th - If N - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, howevereply within the statutory minimed will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be consid ((6) MONTHS from the mailing da ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. (ate of this communication. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	September 2003.		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5) 6)	Claim(s) 1-7 and 19-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1.7 and 19-24 is/are objected to	• •	on.	
7)∐ 8) <u></u>	•	t/or election requirem	ent	
•	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		ted to by the Examiner.	
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1	.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the o	drawing(s) is objected to. S	see 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or	form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
t 13)⊠ 14)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a lift Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the second company is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been receivents have been receivents have been receiveriority documents have beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a list of the certified copiestic priority under 35 first sentence of the seprovisional application estic priority under 35	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this N)). ies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pro specification or in an App n has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12	National Stage ovisional application olication Data Sheet
Attachme	nt(s)			
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) F otice of Informal Patent Applica ther:	

Application/Control Number: 09/505,501

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Applicant's amendment in Paper No. 22 overcomes the 112- first and second paragraph rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olsen(2,292,469) in view of Jacobson(4,094,248) and Smith et al(5,682,013).

Olsen discloses a propellant composition that comprises nitrocellulose and ethyl acetate as solvent (page 2, col. 1, lines 10-11), diphenylamine (pg. 2, col. 2, lines 13-15), dibutylphthalate, and nitroglycerin (pg. 3, col. 2 lines 35-40). On pg. 2, col 1, it is indicated that the viscosity can be modified by the use of increased or decreased solvent and that one viscosity is such that a 5/16" diameter steel ball requires 1,000 seconds to fall ten inches. It is also indicated here that lower viscosities tend to speed the purification. The composition may be extruded through dies to form a perforated cylindrical grain or further changed into any desired form (pg. 4, col. 2, lines 25-32). This reference indicates in several places the ratio of solvent to nitrocellulose, on pg. 3,

Application/Control Number: 09/505,501

Art Unit: 3641

col.1, lines 5-15, the ratio can range from 8:1 to 4:1. It also indicates that the particles can be composed of 4-6 parts of solvent to about 5 parts nitrocellulose. Further, on pg. 4, col.2, lines 18-21, indicate that the solvent content is reduced to a point where the globules contain 8 parts solvent to 10 parts nitrocellulose. These ratios can readily be converted into percentages. The particular shape is not disclosed.

Jacobson and Smith et al teach two propellant shapes. Jacobson teaches a shape with external grooves and Smith teaches one with a cylindrical shape.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the composition of Olsen into the shapes taught by Jacobson and Smith et al since it is suggested by Olsen that the propellant can be formed into any shape. It would also have been obvious to vary the viscosity of the lacquer to suit any purpose since Olsen suggests that this can be easily done by varying the amount of solvent. There is also no indication that the viscosity obtained by Olsen is any different than that which is claimed by the Applicant. Thus, the viscosity is considered an inherent property of the composition of Olsen. As to limitations which are considered to be inherent in a reference, note the case law of In re Ludke, 169 USPQ 563; In re Swinehart, 169 USPQ 226, In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594; In re Best et al, 195 USPQ 430; and In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685, 688. It is also noted that the claims only require nitrocellulose and solvent and list all the other ingredients such as diphenylamine, nitroglycerin, and dibutylphthalate as optional. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to determine the amounts of these ingredients needed and also since no amount is disclosed by Olsen there is no way to know that the amount isn't the

Application/Control Number: 09/505,501 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

same amount that is claimed by Applicant. It would be obvious to vary the amounts of solvent and nitrocellulose within the ranges indicated by Olsen. It is well-settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person of ordinary skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Olsen is not a lacquer, however in page 2, lines 24-30, the disclosure indicates that it is a lacquer composition. The percents are not shown in the disclosure but ratios of solvent to nitrocellulose are shown in page 3, lines 4-17. The viscosity is also shown on page 2, lines 33-37. Olsen clearly discloses that the viscosity can be varied to suit many purposes by merely altering the amount of solvent used. Olsen also discloses that the lacquer composition can be extruded through dies to create a perforated pellet on page 4, lines 22-32. Jacobson and Smith et al are used to merely teach different shapes of pellets that can be formed and are not intended to show any of the composition of the instant invention. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Art Unit: 3641

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen Felton whose telephone number is (703) 306-5751. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306-4198.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687. The fax number for submissions before a final action is (703) 872-9326, for after final submissions is (703) 872-9327, and customer service is (703) 872-9325.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Aileen B. Felton

Aileen B. Felton